

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

TOMMY L. HUGHES,  
Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 07-CIV-095-Raw

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of  
the Social Security Administration,  
Defendant.

---

---

**ORDER**

Before the court is the Motion for Award of Attorney's Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) [Docket No. 26]. On July 31, 2008, this court rendered a final judgment remanding the Plaintiff's case for further proceedings. The Commissioner has issued a fully favorable decision, and a Notice of Award has been issued providing back benefits of \$56,865.80. Plaintiff's counsel was notified on January 18, 2009 of the Notice of Award.

In order to authorize payment of attorney fees pursuant to 42U.S.C. § 406(b), a court must act as an "independent check, to assure that they yield reasonable results in particular cases." McGraw v. Barnhart, 450 F.3d 493, 498 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2006). A district court should determine the reasonableness and timeliness of the attorney fee request prior to awarding fees. Id., at 502 and 505. There is a limit, however, to the amount of fees that can be awarded pursuant to § 406(b): "Congress has provided one boundary line: Agreements are unenforceable to the extent that they provide for fees exceeding 25 percent of the past-due benefits." Gisbrecht v. Barnhart, 122 S.Ct. 1817, 1828 (2002).

The Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals issued a ruling in Wrenn, ex rel. Wrenn v. Astrue, 525 F.3d 931 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2008). Wrenn states that “the 25% limitation on fees for court representation found in § 406(b) is not itself limited by the amount of fees awarded by the Commissioner.” Id., at 937. Thus, the combined attorney fees for representation before the Social Security Administration and on appeal to a district court are not limited to 25% of past-due benefits. After a review of the motion and supporting documents, the court finds that the fees requested are reasonable.

A motion for the award of attorney fees under § 406(b) should be filed within a “reasonable time of the Commissioner’s decision awarding benefits.” McGraw, at 505. There is no specific time frame for the filing of the motion. The court finds that the request for attorney fees was filed within a reasonable time.

It is the Order of the court that the Motion for Award of Attorney’s Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) [Docket No. 26] is GRANTED, and reasonable attorney’s fees are approved in the amount of \$8,805.35. Further, pursuant to Wrenn, counsel for Plaintiff is directed to refund the amount of the EAJA award (\$6,478.00) to Plaintiff.

**ORDERED THIS 31st DAY OF March, 2009.**

Dated this 31<sup>st</sup> Day of March 2009.

j4h4i0



Ronald A. White  
United States District Judge  
Eastern District of Oklahoma